| PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS BY LACHAT QUIKCHEM METHOD 10-210-00-1-X "DETERMINATION OF PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS BY FLOW INJECTION ANALYSIS COLORIMETRY" REVISION DATE SEPTEMBER 3, 2001 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-----|----------|--|
| Facility Name: | | | | | | |
| Assessor Name: Analyst Name: Inspection Date | | | | | | |
| Relevant Aspect of Standards | Method Reference | Y | N | N/A | Comments | |
| Records Examined: SOP Number/ Revision/ Date | Records Examined: SOP Number/ Revision/ Date Analyst: Analyst: | | | | | |
| Sample ID: Date of Sample Preparation: Date of Analysis: | | | | | nalysis: | |
| Was the 4-Aminoantipyrine Color Reagent prepared fresh daily and stored in glass? | 7.1 | | | | | |
| Was Buffered Potassium Ferricyanide solution stored in glass and prepared fresh weekly? | 7.1 | | | | | |
| Were Working Standards stored in glass containers? | 4.3 | | | | | |
| Were samples collected in glass containers? | 4.3 | | | | | |
| Were samples preserved to pH < 2 with sulfuric acid and cooled ≤ 6°C and held for no longer than 28 days? | 40CFR136.3 Table II | | | | | |
| Were MDL's determined according to 40 CFR 136, Appendix B? | 9.2.1 | | | | | |
| To establish the analyst's ability to generate acceptable data, did the mean and standard deviation of 10 replicates of a mid-range standard meet the requirements of Section 11.0 of the reference method? | 9.2.2.2 | | | | | |
| Were matrix spike duplicates analyzed at a minimum frequency of 10% of samples? | 9.3 | | | | | |
| Were laboratory reagent blanks subjected to the same procedural steps as samples? | 9.4.1 | | | | | |
| Were sample pHs adjusted to approximately 4 prior to analysis? | 11.1.1 | | | | | |
| Notes/Comments: | | | | | | |